

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,894	02/01/2001	Haim Weissman	010077	8144
23696	7590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
Qualcomm Incorporated			· LELE, TANMAY S	
Patents Depai 5775 Moreho			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA 92121-1714			2684	
			DATE MAIL FD: 10/18/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/775,894	WEISSMAN, HAIM	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tanmay S Lele	2684	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for al	lowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ely filed Request for Continued
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) 🛛 The per	riod for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The per event, h	iod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lowever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection.
Extensions of an extension of the control of the co	time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ne date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in ed. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final reject adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
	e of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the p 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The prop	posed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🛛 they	y raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);
(b) 🔲 they	y raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
. ,	y are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mat ues for appeal; and/or	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🗌 the	ey present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NO	TE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicar	nt's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	roposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a s ng the non-allowable claim(s).	separate, timely filed amendment
] affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been consideration for allowance because:	sidered but does NOT place the
_	davit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY by the Examiner in the final rejection.	to issues which were newly
	poses of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $oxtime \mathbb{N}$ will not be entered or bettion of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided believed.	
The stat	us of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s	s) allowed:	
Claim(s	s) objected to:	
Claim(s	s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .	
Claim(s	s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The draw	wing correction filed on is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the	e attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·
10. Other: _		
	NAY MAUNGO	1-
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Tanmay Lele Tele: (703) 305-3462

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/775,894

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Limitations not previously presented now are explicitly stated in the claims; further note that in Figures 8A-8D and starting column 30 line 48 and ending column 31, line 15 of Cook, a cellular topology is presented (note that Applicant's stated column 35, lines 39-42 are specific to a "conventional cellular radiotelephone system," in contrast to the broadly claimed "wireless cellular communication").